

# National Homebr



Table: 12 13B - 12-168



AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition Program

bjcp.org

HomebrewersAssociation.org

### How to Read Your Scoresheet Left Column:

Judges must give their names and are encouraged to give contact information so that you can respond with praise or constructive criticism to their comments. Please don't expect the judge to remember your beer. Complaints about your score are unlikely to accomplish anything but enmity, but helpful comments that point out objective errors or poor quality of feedback may help the judge to improve in the future.

If you feel that there is a significant problem with the quality of judging you have received, please contact the organizer of the competition and, if the judge has checked a BJCP rank, the BJCP (at the address at the bottom of this sheet). Include copies of the scoresheet and any other relevant information.

The Judge Qualification section is fairly self-explanatory. An Apprentice is a judge who has taken but not passed the BJCP exam. A non-BJCP judge has not taken the exam, but may be skilled. Honorary Master is a rank given out by the BJCP for recognition of exceptional service to the BJCP and/or homebrewing community. The other ranks are levels within the BJCP, based on the judge's experience and exam score.

The **Descriptor Definitions** list is used by some judges as a shorthand way to communicate the presence of common beer characteristics. Many, but not all, of these are considered flaws in beer, although some will be a flaw in some styles and desired in others. See the judge's comments on the right side of the sheet for more information.

#### Right Column

The Category and Subcategory designation shows the style against which your beer was judged. Most of the score your beer received represents how well the judges felt your beer represented this style. See below for more about scoring and style.

The **Bottle Inspection** is not used in determining your beer's score, but certain clues to potential problems can be gleaned from a bottle inspection. For example, "Ring around the collar," a line of material that appears on the bottle neck at the liquid surface, is sometimes an indicator of contamination, although it can have other causes. This section can also be used for comments about packaging in violation of some contests guidelines such as the use of distinctive bottles or incorrect bottle size.

Most entries into homebrew competitions are in categories that describe a particular style of beer. These styles are usually derived from a commercial beer, or group of similar beers, or a type of beer that is popular among home brewers. The score that is assigned to your beer is an indication of how closely the judge felt your beer matched the characteristics of that style. The style adherence is separated into four sections: Aroma, Appearance, Flavor, and Mouthfeel. The judges are looking for the presence and/or absence of various characteristics in each of these four sections, depending on the style. Your beer was scored accordingly.

BJCP Cover Sheet Copyright © 2009 Beer Judge Certification Program rev. 091023

### Judges and/or Stewards

Check all score sheets for accuracy and make sure the judge's full name is printed on each one. Attach this cover sheet on top of all score sheets before submitting them to the organizer.

#### **Entry Number**

12168

NHC Cat# \_\_\_\_\_ NHC Subcat (a-h) \_\_\_\_ Ordinal Position in Flight: \_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_ This beer advanced to a mini-BOS round

#### Final Assigned Score

31

At least two judges from the flight in which your beer was entered reached consensus on your final assigned score. It is not necessarily an average of the individual scores.

#### Place Awarded

_	_		_	_

In the final section, **Overall Impression**, the judge conveys how enjoyable the beer was and should attempt to offer suggestions for improvement. It may also be used to summarize the judge's feelings on aspects of the beer that don't fit neatly into one of the other four sections. The difference between a very good beer and a world class beer can often be in subtle or intangible details. Likewise, beers with no easily discernible flaws can be lacking in specialness or "magic." This section is used to give such feedback.

The **Scoring Guide** is your guide to understanding the meaning of your total score on the 50 point scale used on this scoresheet.

Outstanding (45-50) – A world class example of the style. A beer with great character and no flaws.

Excellent (38-44) – Beers in this range may have no flaws but may be missing the intangibles for a world class beer.

**Very Good (30-37)** – Beers in this range may have a minor flaw (technical or stylistic), or may be lacking in balance or complexity.

Good (21-29) – A satisfactory beer that generally fits the style parameters. Scores near the upper end of this range may have only a few minor flaws or be slightly out of style and also may be

lacking in balance or complexity. Scores near the lower end of this range tend to have more flaws and are likely to have stylistic inconsistencies as well.

Fair (14-20) – This beer has its share of problems that may include missing the style parameters, off flavors and aromas, balance problems, contamination, or other major flaws. Scores near the lower end of this range exhibit more major flaws.

**Problematic (0-13)** – A beer with a major problem (usually contamination) that overwhelms all other flavors and aromas. Often barely palatable.

In box to the right of the Scoring Guide the judge has reiterated the feedback given in the five scored sections, breaking it down differently. Your beer has been rated on three scales: Stylistic Accuracy, Technical Merit, and Intangibles. The aroma of Cascade hops in a Bohemian Pilsener would be an example of a problem in Stylistic Accuracy. This can be thought of as recipe feedback. Excessive sourness would usually indicate a contamination problem which would be classified under Technical Merit. This can be thought of as process feedback. Some characteristics don't fall neatly into one category, for example grassy aromas might be from the type of hop used, or from using oxidized hops. Here the judge has tried to make the best guess for these ratings. Intangibles rates the same characteristic discussed above in Overall Impression. The checkboxes also give the entrant an overview of the beer's evaluation. By filling out the checkboxes, the judge is encouraged to think about the beer as a whole after the words are written and may also help justify the final score.

#### The Beer Judge Certification Program

The BJCP is the only body in North America that tests and certifies beer judges. The BJCP is a not-for-profit organization, and BJCP judges do not charge for their services. Contact the BJCP at: Comp\_Director@BJCP.org.



Creaminess

☐ Slick

Table: 12



13B - 12-168 **AHA/BJCP Sanct Use Regular BJCP Scoresi** http://www.bicn.org ight © 2012 - BJCP, Inc. http://www.homebrewersassociation.org 13B 12168 NHC Style/Category Entry # Judge Judge Special Ingredients: Judge Other Notes: INSTRUCTIONS: '■' or '☑' boxes for attributes you perceive. Circle any boxes where style expectations were not met. Hops Esters Other AROMA Malt 9 Aspect ☐ Grainy ☐ Citrusy (Fruity □ Brett. Malt ☐ Apple/Pear ☐ Fruit ☑ Earthy Hops ☑ Floral □ Banana ☐ Lactic Bready Esters ☐ Berry ☐ Smoke P Rich ☐ Grassv ☐ Flawed Phenols 00000 ☐ Dark Fruit ☐ Herbal ☐ Citrus ☐ Spice ☐ Vinous Alcohol □ Toasty ☐ Piney ☐ Dried Fruit □ Wood ☐ Grape Sweetness ☐ Roasty ☐ Spicy Acidity ☐ Burnt ☐ Woody ☐ Stone Fruit Comments > **APPEARANCE Color Specifiers** ☐ Gold ☐ Amber ☐ Copper ☐ Brown ☐ Black Aspect LMH Beer ☐ Straw ☐ Yellow Clarity Head □ Cream ☐ Beige □ Tan □ Brown

32 37	ad Size	ш	กกกลัก	Otner Li Flat	☐ Lace	Li Legs L	□ Opaque		L
He	ad Retention								
He	ad Texture	_		Comments >					
F	LAVOR			Malt	Hops	Esters	Other	Balance	20
#	Aspect	0	L M H	☐ Grainy	☐ Citrusy	☐ 'Fruity'	□ Brett.	☐ Malty	1.6
	Malt			□ Caramel	□ Earthy	□ Apple/Pear	· □ Fruit	☐ Hoppy	4.1
	Hops			□ Bready	☐ Floral	□ Banana	□ Lactic	☐ Even	
	Esters			☐ Rich	☐ Grassy	□ Berry	□ Smoke		☐ Flawed
	Phenols		00000	□ Dark Fruit	□ Herbal	☐ Citrus	□ Spice	0 1	,
	Sweetness			□ Toasty	☐ Piney	□ Dried Fruit		Doern't ta	vle
	Bitterness		00000	☐ Roastv	☐ Spicy	☐ Grape	□ Wood		= -11-
	Alcohol		00000	☐ Burnt Î	□ Woody	☐ Stone Fruit		as sweek a	5 IL SMEILS,
	Acidity		00000		0 1	11	10 0 10		A.
	Harshness		00000		Perh	19/1/1/	overable	materi Movic	/
			00000			efer rid	11 1-00		I Di
			00000	Comments >	4. 1	eter viol	v walting,	is to carry th	rough on flavor, 1
N	OUTHFEEL			Flaws	Finish	21 T III	Comm	ents	5 001
	Aspect	0	L M H	☐ Flat	☐ Cloying	111	11	1	2 100
	dy	Ō	00000	☐ Gushed	□ Sweet	A 1.41	e thin a	۸ď	3 ,
	rbonation			☐ Hot	☐ Medium		10 /		Sligh
11-21-2	armth			☐ Harsh	☑ Dry	1	-> 01-1		- ,
***	arriur	_		- 1 IGI 311	- U.y		/		☐ Flawed alo.

Astringency								_
OVERALL IMPRE	SSION	Drinkability		Com	ıments	10		1
Assessment	LMH	I would finish this sample	Park	ald and	ade 6-1	slahl.		
Stylistic Accuracy		□ I would drink a pint of this bee	11-27	1000 2707	1	7777	0	ı
<b>Technical Merit</b>		□ I would pay money for this bee	er to	0 917 ano		athe persic		
Intangibles	00000	□ Please send me the recipe!!!			week over	in didn't c	orry H	for
TOTAL		Use back of sheet for a	dditional c	omments		50	,	1.
Scoring Outstandin	g (45-50): World	l-class example of style	Good	(21-29): Misses	the mark on style a	nd/or minor flaws	11	~
Guide Excellent	(38-44): Exem	plifies style well, requires minor tuning	Fair	(14-20): Off flav	ors, aromas or maj	or style deficiencies	52	1
Very Good	(30-37): Gener	ally within style parameters, minor flaws	Problem	(0-13): Major o	off flavors and aron	as dominate		""

☐ Biting

FLAWS (check where perceived or by indicating L, M or H intensity)						See http://www.bjcp.org/faults.php for suggested fixes.						
Fault	Aroma	Flavor	Mouth	Fault	Aroma	Flavor	Mouth	Fault	Aroma	Flavor	Mouth	
Acetaldehyde				Light-struck				Sour/Acidic				
Alcoholic/Hot				Medicinal				Smoky				
Astringent				Metallic				Spicy				
Diacetyl				Musty				Sulfur				
DMS				Oxidized			11 11 22 1	Vegetal			Sulli	
Estery				Plastic				Vinegary				
Grassy				Solvent/Fusel				Yeasty				



Grassy

## BEER SCORESHEL



Yeasty

Table: 12

13B - 12-168

#### AHA/BJCP Sanctioned Competition Program Use Regular BJCP Scoresheet for Full Evaluation and

http://www.bjcp.org Copyright © 2012 - BJCP, Inc. http://www.homebrewersassociation.org NHC Style/Category 30B British Brown # Judge Name Judge BJCP Special Ingredients: Judge Emai Other Notes: INSTRUCTIONS: '■' or '\overline{\ov AROMA Hops Esters Other Malt ☐ 'Fruity' ☐ Brett. Aspect 0 LMH □ Grainy ☐ Citrusy Malt Caramel Earthy ☐ Apple/Pear ☐ Fruit Hops Bready □ Floral □ Banana ☐ Lactic Esters ☐ Rich □ Grassy ☐ Berry □ Smoke Flawed Phenols □ Dark Fruit ☐ Herbal ☐ Citrus □ Spice □ Piney ☐ Dried Fruit □ Vinous □ Toasty Alcohol ☐ Grape □ Wood ☐ Roasty □ Spicy Sweetness Acidity □ Burnt ☐ Woody ☐ Stone Fruit Comments > Slight phenoliz/ plastic aroha **APPEARANCE Color Specifiers** ■ Amber □ Copper □ Brown LMH ☐ Yellow ☐ Gold Aspect 0 Beer □ Straw □ White Ivory □ Cream ☐ Beige □Tan □ Brown Clarity Head □ Opaque Head Size Other □ Flat □ Lace □ Legs Head Retention **Head Texture** Comments > FLAVOR Hops Balance Malt Esters Other □ Malty M H Grainy □ Citrusy 'Fruity' □ Brett. Aspect 0 L Apple/Pear Caramel Earthy ☐ Fruit ☐ Hoppy Malt □ Banana ☐ Lactic Even ☐ Bready □ Floral Hops 00000 ☐ Rich □ Grassy ☐ Berry □ Smoke Esters  $\Box$ Flawed Phenols ☐ Dark Fruit ☐ Herbal ☐ Citrus ☐ Spice Sweetness Toasty ☐ Piney □ Dried Fruit □ Vinous □ Wood Grape Bitterness □ Roasty □ Spicy ☐ Burnt □ Woody ☐ Stone Fruit Alcohol Acidity Harshness Fait solvert/plastic tark 00000 Comments > П Finish MOUTHFEEL Flaws □ Cloying Aspect 0 LMH ☐ Flat □ Gushed ☐ Sweet Body ☐ Hot ☐ Medium Carbonation ■ Dry Warmth □ Harsh ☐ Flawed Creaminess ☐ Slick □ Biting Astringency 俪 OVERALL IMPRESSION Drinkability □ I would finish this sample
□ I would drink a pint of this beer
□ I would pay money for this beer tasks too phenolic for style Assessment M H L Stylistic Accuracy **Technical Merit** Intangibles ☐ Please send me the recipe!!! TOTAL Use back of sheet for additional comments Good (21-29): Misses the mark on style and/or minor flaws Scoring Outstanding (45-50): World-class example of style Fair (14-20): Off flavors, aromas or major style deficiencies Excellent (38-44): Exemplifies style well, requires minor tuning Problem (0-13): Major off flavors and aromas dominate Very Good (30-37): Generally within style parameters, minor flaws See http://www.bjcp.org/faults.php for suggested fixes. FLAWS (check where perceived or by indicating L, M or H intensity) Flavor Mouth Fault Aroma Flavor Mouth Fault Aroma Flavor Mouth Fault Aroma Sour/Acidic Acetaldehyde Light-struck Alcoholic/Hot Smoky Medicinal Astringent Metallic Spicy Diacetyl Musty Vegetal DMS Oxidized Vinegary Estery Plastic

Solvent/Fusel